

#### 2019年秋



# 有关信息

- 授课教师: 宁康
  - Email: ningkang@hust.edu.cn
  - Office: 华中科技大学东十一楼504室
  - Phone: 87793041, 18627968927
- 课程网页
  - http://www.microbioinformatics.org/teach/#
  - -QQ群: 882140516





课程安排

- 生物背景和课程简介
- 传统生物统计学及其应用
- 生物统计学和生物大数据挖掘
  - Hidden Markov Model (HMM)及其应用
    - Markov Chain
    - HMM理论
    - HMM和基因识别 (Topic I)
    - HMM和序列比对 (Topic II)
  - 进化树的概率模型 (Topic III)
  - Motif finding中的概率模型 (Topic IV)
    - EM algorithm
    - Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
  - 基因表达数据分析 (Topic V)
    - 聚类分析-Mixture model
    - Classification-Lasso Based variable selection
  - 基因网络推断 (Topic VI)
    - Bayesian网络
    - Gaussian Graphical Model
  - 基因网络分析 (Topic VII)
    - Network clustering
    - Network Motif
    - Markov random field (MRF)
  - Dimension reduction及其应用 (Topic VIII)
- 面向生物大数据挖掘的深度学习

方法: 生物计算与生物统计

研究对象:

生物序列,

生物网络,

基因表达

进化树,

## 第8-1章:蛋白质相互作用 的实验方法和预测

- Experimental methods
- Prediction of protein-protein interactions

## Part I: Experimental Methods

- Physical interaction
  - Yeast two hybrid system
  - TAP-mass spectrometry
- Genetic interaction
  - SGA
  - EMAP

Protein-protein interactions (Experimental methods)

- Co-immunoprecipitation.
- Two-hybrid system (Uetz et al. 2000, Ito et al. 2000, 2001).
- Purified Complex by mass spectrometry
  - TAP: Tandem affinity purification (Gavin et al. 2002).
  - HMS-PCI: high-throughput mass spectrometric protein complex identification (Ho et al. 2002).

#### **Mechanism of two-hybrid system**



From: Nature 405, June 15, 2000, 837-846.

#### mass spec



with epitope-tagged protein B

Gavin et al. (2002) Nature 415:141

#### **Mass spectrometry**



图2 21 核苷酸RNA掌核苷酸的MaxEnt3去卷积MS.M5图遣。星号为谐波峰(去卷积的结果)

## Matrix method (two hybrid)



From: TRENDS in Genetics Vol.17, No.6, June 2001.

#### Interaction Sequence Tags (ISTs)



From: Nature 405, June 15, 2000, 837-846.

# Two data sets from yeast two hybrid system

- Uetz's data (Uetz et al. 2000).
- Ito's data (Ito et al. 2000, 2001).



#### Possible Errors in 2-hybrid system

- False positive.
  - Possible mutation during PCR-amplifying.
  - Stochastic activation of reporter gene.
- False negative.
  - Membrane protein, post-translational modification protein, those self-activating reporter genes (Removed in experiment).
  - Weak interactions.

The size of interactome for yeast (5-50/protein)





Observed interaction data

#### MLE of the reliability

• Likelihood function

$$L(\alpha) = \prod_{k=1}^{K} (\alpha p_k + (1-\alpha)q_k)^{n_k}$$

• Precision of the estimation

$$Var(\widehat{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} n_k \frac{(p_k - q_k)^2}{(\widehat{\alpha}p_k + (1 - \widehat{\alpha})q_k)^2}}$$

#### Budding Yeast Saccharomyces Cerevisiae



- a and  $\alpha$  mating type, cell cycle
- 6300 genes (1997)
- Genome-wide single mutants analysis (2000~)

#### Fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces Pombe



- 1000 million years separation from budding yeast;
- 13.8 Mb genome size, 4824 genes (open reading frames, OPF);
- 3 chromosomes, no genome-wide duplications; h+ and h- mating types;
- Cell cycle: 10% G1, 10% S, 70% G2 and 10% M phases.
- •Genome-wide single mutants analysis (2010~) more similar to metazoans than *S. cerevisiae*
- cell cycle regulation in G2/ M phase,
- gene regulation by the RNAi pathway
- the widespread presence of introns in genes

## What's Genetic Interaction

- Genetic interactions between two loci can be mapped by measuring how the phenotype of an organism lacking both genes (double mutant) differs from that expected when the phenotypes of the single mutations are combined
- Null model:  $F(\Delta AB) = F(\Delta A)^* F(\Delta B)$



Beltrao et al. Cell 141: 739-745, 2010.

#### Identification of Genetic Interactions

- Synthetic Gene Array (SGA) (Tong, et al. 2001)
- Diploid based Synthetic Lethality Analysis on Microarrays (dSLAM) (Pan, X., et al. 2004)

## Synthetic Gene Array (SGA)

Synthetic genetic array methodology



**Genetic Interaction Network** 



Amy Hin Yan Tong, et al. Science, 2001.

#### EMAP is the Extension of SGA

- EMAP: Epistatic Miniarray Profiles (Maya Schuldiner, et al. 2005. *Cell*)
- Quantitative measurement of phenotype (colony size)
  - Measure both positive and negative interactions.



Dorothea Fiedler, et al. Cell, 2009

#### **EMAP S-score**

• Quantitative measure:  $\epsilon = W_{ab} - W_a W_b$ ,  $W_a = w/w_{wild}$ .

No interaction	Synthetic sick/Lethality	Synthetic alleviating
$\epsilon = 0$	$\epsilon < 0$	$\epsilon > 0$

– T-Test with null hypothesis  $\epsilon = 0$ 



Sean R Collins, et al. Genome Biology 7: R63, 2006.

### PPI databases

- MIPS: Munich Information center for Protein Sequences (http://mips.gsf.de)
- DIP: Database of Interacting Proteins (http://dip.doembi.ucla.edu)
- BIND: Biomolecular Interaction Network Database (http://www.bind.ca)
- GRID: General Repository for Interaction Datasets (http://biodata.mshri.on.ca/grid)
- MINT: Molecular Interaction Database (http://cbm.bio.uniroma2.it/mint/)

## **Further Reading**

- For more experimental methods and databases, please read the following review paper
  - Shoemaker BA, Panchenko AR (2007) Deciphering Protein–Protein Interactions. Part I. Experimental Techniques and Databases. PLoS Comput Biol 3(3): e42. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.0030042.

Protein-protein interactions (Computational Methods)

- Gene fusion method (A.Enright 1999.
  E.Maccote 1999)
- Phylogenetic profile method (M.Pellegrini 1999, D.Eisenberg, 1999).
- Gene cluster method (R.Overbeek, 1999).
- Highly co-expressed gene pairs.

### Part II: Predicting Protein-protein Interactions

- Some computational methods
- Predicting protein-protein interaction from domains
  - Association method
  - MLE method

#### Rosetta Stone Method



From: Nature Vol. 405, June.15, 2000, 823-826

## **Phylogenetic Profiles Method**



From: Nature Vol. 405, June, 15, 2000, 823-826

### Using Gene Clusters to Infer Functional Coupling



From: R.Overbeek, PNAS 96, 2896-2901, 1999.

#### **Structure of Proteins**





## Predicting PPIs from Domains

- Domains are treated as elementary unit of function.
- Domains are responsible for the generation of interactions.
- Understanding protein-protein interaction at the domain level.



## Domain Databases

- Pfam, domain classification by HMM.
- Prodom.
- PRINTS, fingerprint information of protein sequences.
- SMART, mobile domain.
- BLOCKs, multiple alignment blocks.
- Interpro.



Source	Domain	Star	t End	Overlapping Domains: Change the domain order using the ^ and v buttons. View the changes by clicking the 'Change order'
Pfam	PH	33	142	button.
Pfam	PI-PLC-X	321	465	high priority

#### PiWi Edit Wikipedia article

Piwi (or PIWI) genes were identified as regulatory proteins responsible for stem cell and germ cell differentiation.<sup>[4]</sup> Piwi is an abbreviation of <u>P-element Induced WI</u>mpy testis in Drosophila.<sup>[5]</sup> Piwi proteins are highly conserved RNA-binding proteins and are present in both plants and animals.<sup>[6]</sup> Piwi proteins belong to the Argonaute/Piwi family and have been classified as nuclear proteins. Studies on Drosophila have also indicated that Piwi proteins have slicer activity conferred by the presence of the Piwi domain.<sup>[7]</sup> In addition, Piwi associates with Heterochromatin protein 1, an epigenetic modifier, and piRNA-complementary sequences. These are indications of the role Piwi plays in epigenetic regulation. Piwi proteins are also thought to control the biogenesis of piRNA as many Piwi-like proteins contain slicer activity which would allow Piwi proteins to process precursor piRNA into mature piRNA.

Contents [hide]

- 1 Protein structure and function
- 2 Human Piwi proteins
- 3 Role in germline cells
- 4 Role in RNA interference
- 5 piRNAs and transposon silencing
- 6 References
- 7 External links

#### Protein structure and function



Piwi domain



The piwi domain of an argonaute protein with bound siRNA, components of the RNA-induced silencing complex that mediates gene silencing by RNA interference.

#### The structure of several Piwi and Argonaute proteins (Ago) have been solved. Piwi proteins are RNA-binding proteins with 2 or 3 **domains**: The N-terminal **PAZ domain** binds the 3'-end of the guide RNA; the middle **MID domain** binds the 5'-phosphate of RNA; and the C-terminal **PIWI domain** acts as an RNase H endonuclease that can cleave RNA.<sup>[8][9]</sup> The small RNA partners of Ago proteins are microRNAs (miRNAs). Ago proteins utilize miRNAs to silence genes post-transcriptionally or use small-interfering RNAs (siRNAs) in both transcription and post-transcription silencing mechanisms. Piwi proteins interact with piRNAs (28–33 nucleotides) that are longer than miRNAs and siRNAs (~20 nucleotides), suggesting that their functions are distinct from those of Ago proteins.<sup>[8]</sup>

#### Human Piwi proteins

Presently there are four known human Piwi proteins—PIWI-like protein 1, PIWI-like protein 2, PIWI-like protein 3 and PIWI-like protein 4. Human Piwi proteins all contain two RNA binding domains, PAZ and Piwi. The four PIWI-like proteins have a spacious binding site within the PAZ domain which allows them to bind the bulky 2'-OCH3 at the 3' end of piwi-interacting RNA.<sup>[10]</sup>

One of the major human homologues, whose upregulation is implicated in the formation of tumours such as seminomas, is called hiwi (for human piwi).<sup>[11]</sup>

Homologous proteins in mice have been called miwi (for mouse piwi).[12]

#### Role in germline cells

PIWI proteins play a crucial role in fertility and germline development across animals and ciliates. Recently identified as a polar granule component, PIWI proteins appear to control germ cell formation so much so that in the absence of PIWI proteins there is a significant decrease in germ cell formation. Similar observations were made with the mouse homologs of PIWI, MILI, MIWI and MIWI2. These homologs are known to be present in spermatogenesis. Miwi is expressed in various stages of spermatocyte formation and spermatid elongation where Miwi2 is expressed in Sertoli cells. Mice deficient in either Mili or Miwi-2 have experienced spermatogenia.<sup>[13]</sup> The effects of piwi proteins in human and mouse germlines seems to stem from their involvement in translation control as Piwi

and the small noncoding RNA, piwi-interacting RNA (piRNA), have been known to co-fractionate polysomes. The piwi-piRNA pathway also induces heterochromatin formation at centromeres, [14] thus affecting transcription. The piwi-piRNA pathway also appears to protect the genome. First observed in Drosophila, mutant piwi-piRNA pathways led to a direct increase in dsDNA breaks in ovarian germ cells. The role of the piwipiRNA pathway in transposon silencing may be responsible for the reduction in dsDNA breaks in germ cells.

#### Role in RNA interference

The piwi domain<sup>[15]</sup> is a protein domain found in piwi proteins and a large number of related nucleic acid-binding proteins, especially those that bind and cleave RNA. The function of the

domain is double stranded-RNA-guided hydrolysis of single stranded-RNA that has been determined in the argonaute family of related proteins.<sup>[1]</sup> Argonautes, the most well-studied family of nucleic-acid binding proteins, are RNase H-like enzymes that carry out the catalytic functions of the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC). In the well-known cellular process of RNA interference, the argonaute protein in the RISC complex can bind both small interfering RNA (siRNA) generated from exogenous double-stranded RNA and microRNA (miRNA)



#### Association-A simple method

$$V(D_{ij}) = \frac{\#\{\text{Interacted protein pairs contain } D_{ij}\}}{\#\{\text{All protein pairs contain } D_{ij}\}}$$

More observed PPIs for one domain pair will give higher probability of interaction for that domain pair.
# Simple Example



By assolation method:

$$D_{34} = D_{35} = D_{36} = D_{26} = D_{16} = 1.0$$
  
 $D_{15} = D_{25} = 0.75, D_{14} = D_{24} = 0.5$ 

Others are 0.0.

 $D_{15:}$  {P34, P35, P15}/{P<sub>34</sub>, P<sub>35</sub>, P<sub>15</sub>, P<sub>14</sub>}=0.75

#### Generalized Boosted Linear Models (GBLM): 广义线性模型



Huttenhower, et al., PLoS Computational Biology, 2013

#### Generalized Boosted Linear Models (GBLM): 广义线性模型



#### **Ensemble scoring**

Huttenhower, et al., PLoS Computational Biology, 2013

#### Generalized Boosted Linear Models (GBLM): 广义线性模型



$$x_{tt,ts} = \bar{x}_{tt,ts} + \sum_{st} \beta_{tt,ts,st,ss} x_{st,ss}$$

$$\operatorname{logit}(x_{tt,ts}) = \bar{x}_{tt,ts} + \sum_{st} \beta_{tt,ts,st,ss} x_{st,ss}$$

Huttenhower, et al., PLoS Computational Biology, 2013

Generalized Linear Models (GLM): 广义线性模型

广义线性模型的核心体现在:

- y服从指数族分布(包括高斯分布,伯努利分布,多项式分布,泊松分布,
  beta分布.....),且同个样本的y必须服从同个分布
- 接着在具体分布中比较与指数分布族之间的参数关系,最重要的就是具体分布的参数(Φ)和指数分布参数(η)之间的关系



Generalized Linear Models (GLM): 广义线性模型



# Limitation of Association Method

- For multiple-domain proteins, this method computes the value for a certain domain pair ignoring the value of other domain-domain pairs. So it's a local one.
- This method cannot deal with possible error of the data.

# Probabilistic Model

- Domain-domain interactions are independent, which means that the event that two domains interact or not does not depend on other domains.
- Two proteins interact if and only if at least one pair of domains from the two proteins interact.

#### Yeast Data

- Interactions (Uetz's and Ito's interaction data).
- Domain: Pfam (Pfam-A, Pfam-B).
- Proteins: SGD, N=6359.

#### **Protein Interaction Data Sources**

	Proteins	Pfam domains	Super - domains	PPI
Uetz	1337	1330	313	1445
Ito	3277	2776	909	4475
Uetz+Ito	3729	3124	1007	5719
Overlap	855	964	215	201

## Measure the Accuracy

- Specificity and sensitivity.
- Verification by MIPS physical interactions (as TRUE interactions).
- Relationship between protein-protein interactions and expression data.

 $SP = \frac{\text{number of matches with observation}}{\text{number of prediction}}$  $SN = \frac{\text{number of match with observation}}{\text{number of observation}}$ 



Comparison of association method and MLE



#### Verification by Known PPIs

- MIPS physical interaction. (Totally 2570 PPIs, 1414 PPIs not overlapping with our training set).
- Compare with random matching.
  - Fold number
  - Larger fold number imply more reliable prediction

 $\# Fold = \frac{\# \{Our \text{ prediction matched to MIPS}\}}{\# \{Expectation \text{ of random pairs matched to MIPS}\}}$ 

Think about FDR again...

## Matching with MIPS PPIs

Prob	#Predict	#Train	#MIPS		#Fold
All	20221620	5719	2570	1414	1.00
>0.00	136463	5719	1265	109	11.92
>=0.20	26908	5238	1093	53	34.97
>=0.40	19360	5018	1035	48	47.85
>=0.60	14725	4775	971	47	67.53
>=0.80	12734	4647	932	43	76.02
>=0.975	10824	4461	886	40	89.88

# Interaction Data Correlated With Gene Expression Data

- Interacted proteins seems to have high expression correlation
  - A.Grigorieve *Nucleic Acid Res.* 29, 2001;
  - H. Ge et al. Nature Genetics 29, 2001;
  - R. Jansen et al. Genome Res.12, 2002.
- Expression data (M.Eisen, 1998); 2465 Yeast ORFs with 79 data points/ORF.
- Pearson correlation coefficient.



# Statistics of Pairwise Correlation of Gene Expression

pairs	# pairs	mean	std	T-score	p-value	R* > 0.5
All ORFs	3036880	0.0428	0.2473	0.0000	5.000e-01	3.84%
$\geq 0.20$	6392	0.0514	0.2550	2.7984	2.575e-03	4.79%
$\geq 0.40$	4433	0.0510	0.2538	2.2232	1.311e-02	4.96%
$\geq 0.60$	3318	0.0598	0.2579	3.9644	3.715e-05	5.42%
$\geq 0.80$	2756	0.0626	0.2622	4.2196	1.238e-05	5.88%
$\geq 0.975$	2266	0.0628	0.2637	3.8482	6.002e-05	5.87%
Uetz+Ito	1307	0.0586	0.2587	2.3213	1.015e-02	5.20%
MIPS	1106	0.1109	0.2767	9.1619	2.706e-20	8.23%

$$T = \frac{\mu_1 - \mu_2}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}} \sqrt{\frac{(n_1 - 1)S_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)S_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}}$$

# 第8-3章: Network Module

- Definition
- Module detection
- Bayesian approach
- Markov clustering algorithm

#### **Network Modular**



# Modularity

 Suppose we are given a candidate division of the vertices into some number of groups. The modularity of this division is defined to be the fraction of the edges that fall within the given groups minus the expected such fraction if edges were distributed at random.

# Modularity

- A<sub>ij</sub>: adjacency matrix
- k<sub>i</sub>: degree
- m: total number of edges

$$Q = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{ij} (A_{ij} - \frac{k_i k_j}{2m}) \delta(c_i, c_j)$$

# Modularity

 For two class problem, let s<sub>i</sub>=1 of node I belongs to group1 and s<sub>i</sub>=-1 if it belongs to group 2,

$$\delta(c_i, c_j) = \frac{1}{2}(s_i s_j + 1)$$
$$Q = \frac{1}{4m} \sum_{ij} S^T B S$$
$$B = (B_{ij}), B_{ij} = A_{ij} - \frac{k_i k_j}{2m}$$
$$S = (s_1, \cdots, s_n)^T$$

#### Example



# Spectrum Method

- The largest eigenvectors will gives the best grouping, positive entries corresponding to one class, and negative ones corresponding to another class.
- This can be achieved by power method

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} = \frac{A^k e}{e^T A^k e} = w$$
  
where e=(1,1,...,1)<sup>T</sup>

## Example

• 对于上述矩阵B,可以计算出最大特征值为 10,对应的特征向量

v = (-0.55, -0.55, 0.37, 0.37, 0.37)

• 于是我们对节点的划分为{1,2}; {3,4,5}



优化方法

• 既然现在有一个衡量划分"好坏"的量Q, 那么一般的优化方法都可以使用;

-1. 给定初始划分

- -2. 对于划分的某种修正, 计算Q的改变量
- -3. 依据一定的原则考虑是否接受这种修正,重复步骤2, 直到某种收敛条件满足。
- Greedy方法
- 模拟退火方法

# A Bayesian Approach to Network Modularity

#### Slides for this part are mainly from Hofman's talk

www.jakehofman.com/talks/apam\_20071019.pdf

# **Overview: Modular Networks**

- Given a network
  - Assign nodes to modules?
  - Determine number of modules(scale/complexity)?



# **Overview: Modular Networks**

• With a generative model of modular networks, rules of probability tell us how to calculate model parameters (e.g. number of modules & assignments)



#### **Generative Models**

Know model (parameters, assignment variables, complexity)



Generate synthetic data

Infer model (parameters, latent variables, complexity)



Observe real data

# Markov Clustering Algorithm

van Dongen. A cluster algorithm for graphs. Information Systems, 2000

# K-length Path

• Basic idea: dense regions in sparse graphs corresponding with regions in which the number of k-length path is relatively large.

 Random walks can also be used to detect clusters in graphs, the idea is that the more closed is a subgraph, the largest the time a random walker need to escape from it.

## **K-path Clustering**



Matrix manipulation: (N+I)<sup>2</sup>

# Markov Clustering

- Expansion: Through matrix manipulation (power), one obtains a matrix for a n-steps connection.
- Inflation: Enhance intercluster passages by raising the elements to a certain power and then normalize

# Markov Clustering Algorithm

- Iteratively running two operators
  - Inflation:

$$(T_r M)_{ij} = \frac{M_{ij}^r}{\sum_i M_{ij}^r}$$

Column normalization

– Expansion:

$$\operatorname{Expand}(M) = M^k$$
/0.380	0.087	0.027		0.077	0.295	0.201			0.320		\
0.047	0.347	0.210	0.017	0.150	0.019	0.066	0.012		0.012		
0.014	0.210	0.347	0.056	0.150		0.016	0.046	0.009		0.009	
	0.027	0.087	0.302	0.062			0.184	0.143		0.143	0.083
0.058	0.210	0.210	0.056	0.406		0.083	0.046	0.009	0.019	0.009	
0.142	0.017				0.295	0.083			0.184		
0.113	0.069	0.017		0.062	0.097	0.333	0.012		0.147		
	0.017	0.069	0.175	0.049		0.016	0.287	0.143		0.143	0.083
		0.017	0.175	0.012			0.184	0.288		0.288	0.278
0.246	0.017			0.019	0.295	0.201			0.320		
		0.017	0.175	0.012			0.184	0.288		0.288	0.278
/			0.044				0.046	0.120		0.120	0.278/

 $\Gamma_2 M^2$ , M defined in Figure 8

/0.448	0.080	0.023		0.068	0.426	0.359			0.432		)
0.018	0.285	0.228	0.007	0.176	0.006	0.033	0.005		0.007		
0.005	0.223	0.290	0.022	0.173		0.010	0.017	0.003	0.001	0.003	0.001
	0.018	0.059	0.222	0.040		0.001	0.187	0.139		0.139	0.099
0.027	0.312	0.314	0.028	0.439	0.005	0.054	0.022	0.003	0.010	0.003	0.001
0.116	0.007	0.001		0.004	0.157	0.085			0.131		
0.096	0.040	0.013		0.037	0.083	0.197	0.001		0.104		
	0.012	0.042	0.172	0.029		0.002	0.198	0.133		0.133	0.096
	0.001	0.015	0.256	0.009			0.266	0.326		0.326	0.346
0.290	0.021	0.002		0.017	0.323	0.260			0.316		
	0.001	0.015	0.256	0.009			0.266	0.326		0.326	0.346
/		0.001	0.037	0.001			0.039	0.069		0.069	0.112/

 $\Gamma_2(\Gamma_2 M^2 \cdot \Gamma_2 M^2)$ 

(0.807)	0.040	0.015		0.034	0.807	0.807			0.807		)
	0.090	0.092		0.088							
	0.085	0.088		0.084							
	0.001	0.001	0.032	0.001			0.032	0.031		0.031	0.031
	0.777	0.798		0.786		0.001					
0.005					0.005	0.005			0.005		
0.003	0.001			0.001	0.003	0.003			0.003		
		0.001	0.024				0.024	0.024		0.024	0.024
		0.002	0.472	0.001			0.472	0.472		0.472	0.472
0.185	0.005	0.001		0.004	0.185	0.184			0.185		
		0.002	0.472	0.001			0.472	0.472		0.472	0.472
/			0.001				0.001	0.001		0.001	/

 $(\Gamma_2 \circ Squaring)$  iterated four times on M

(1.000)					1.000	1.000			1.000		)
	1.000	1.000		1.000							
			0.500				0.500	0.500		0.500	0.500
			0.500				0.500	0.500		0.500	0.500
/											/

 $M^\infty_{mcl}$ 

# A Heuristic for MCL

We take a random walk on the graph described by the similarity matrix

After each step we weaken the links between distant nodes and strengthen the links between nearby nodes



Graphic from van Dongen, 2000

# **Clustering examples**





# STRING



Search Download Help My Data

Protein by name	>	SEARCH			
Protein by sequence	>	Single P	Protein by Name / Identifier		
Multiple proteins	>				
Aultiple sequences	>	Protein Name:	(examples: <u>#1</u> <u>#2 #3</u> )		
oteins with Values/Ranks <sup>New</sup>	>				
Janisms	>	Organism:			
tein families ("COGs")	>	auto-detect	v		
amples	>				
ndom entry	>				
			SEARCH		

# STITCH



Search Download Help My Data

Item by name	>	SEARCH
Multiple names	>	Single Item by Name / Identifier
Chemical structure(s)	>	
Protein sequence(s)	>	Item Name: (examples: <u>#1 #2 #3</u> )
Examples	>	
Random entry	>	Organism:
		auto-detect 🗸 🗸
		SEARCH



#### Rosetta stone



### Rosetta stone



#### Vableau des Signes Phonetiques des ceritures biéroglyphique et Démolique des anciens Cyptiens

Lether Greequest	Signes Demotiques	Signes Biersglypbiqued
A	ບ.ຍ.	DAY DAA. FAF
B	4.34.	B B L. J. F.
Г	K	
Δ	<	
E	ι.	Q P
z		
н	111 JH. (1) JH.	00.00.00.00.00.00.00.
Θ		
1	∽ m.	00 00. 00
К.	F. F. W. 14.14	~ ~ ~ ~ ELABODALS.C. A A
Λ	1.1.1.	20 02. 0. 4.
M	۵. ۵.	=. c. ĩ. I. A
Ν.	2.2	AND . ANNO AND . J. B. B. B.
Ξ	*	T.S.
0	1.1.1.1.1	B.A. R. 1 2 8
Π.	2.2.2.2.2.	
P	1.1.1.	Wimin 20 CE & 2 d. S. C.
Σ		11
Т	< . C. 4 . 4 .	13 0. A A
r.		
ф	2	<b>3</b> . D
*		
x	13	
2		¢.9.
TO.		2002 . 0

#### Rosetta stone







#### (Critical Assessment of Techniques for Protein Structure Prediction)



#### (Critical Assessment of Techniques for Protein Structure Prediction)



The hub for Rosetta modeling software









#### (Critical Assessment of Techniques for Protein Structure Prediction)

#### DeepFold



#### (Critical Assessment of Techniques for Protein Structure Prediction)



# CAPRI

# (Critical Assessment of PRediction of Interactions)



# CAPRI





The hub for Rosetta modeling software



Top: Researchers gathering samples from Great Boiling Spring in Nevada. Left: a snapshot of aligned metagenomic sequences. Each row is a different sequence (the different colors are the different amino acid groups). Each position (or column) is compared to all other positions to detect patterns of co-evolution. Bottom: the strength of the top co-evolving residues is shown as blue dots, these are also shown as colored lines on the structure above. The goal is to make a structure that makes as many of these contacts as possible. Right: a cartoon of the protein structure predicted. The protein domain shown is from Pfam DUF3794, this domain is part of a Spore coat assembly protein SafA. (Image of Great Boiling Spring by Brian Hedlund, UNLV. Protein structure and composite image by Sergey Ovchinnikov, UW)









Genome Biology, 2019